

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/016,090	12/13/2001	David Clifford Long	FIS920010163US1	6883
32074	7590 03/10/2005		EXAMINER	
INTERNATIONAL BUSINESS MACHINES CORPORATION			POKER, JENNIFER A	
DEPT. 18G BLDG. 300-48	82		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
2070 ROUTE 52			2832	
HOPEWELL JUNCTION, NY 12533			DATE MAILED: 03/10/2009	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	10/016,090	LONG ET AL.					
Advisory Addon	Examiner	Art Unit					
	Jennifer A. Poker	2832					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address							
THE REPLY FILED 15 December 2004 FAILS TO PLACE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1 condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appears Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	void abandonment of this applice 1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper rep ch places the applic	oly to a cation in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) \square The period for reply expires $\underline{6}$ months from the mailing date of							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY-CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THI	f the final rejection. E FINAL REJECTION. S	See MPEP				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The dathave been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three mote armed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the I statutory period for reply originally set in	fee. The appropriate extending the final Office action; or	tension fee under (2) as set forth in				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF							
2. \square The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered b	ecause:						
(a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or	simplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ing a corresponding number of	finally rejected clain	ms.				
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejections.	ction(s):						
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).		separate, timely file	d amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NO	OT place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.		to issues which we	ere newly				
 7.			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-13 and 21-23</u> .							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.					
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(e)(PTO-1449) Paner No(e)	Lavous	N				
10. Other:	<u> </u>	RIMARY EXAMINE GROUP 2100	ER				

Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: The combination of cancelled claim 3 into claim 1 does not place the application in better form for allowance/appeal. Claims 1 and 3 had already been considered in office action dated August 24, 2004.